

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,580	10/31/2003	Ronald Scheffer	SCHEFF.0007P 1570 EXAMINER	
32856	7590 04/01/2005			
WEIDE & MILLER, LTD.			BEAUCHAINE, MARK J	
7251 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD. SUITE 530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAS VEGAS	, NV 89128	3653		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,580	SCHEFFER, RONALD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark J. Beauchaine	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/6/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 6 April 2004 has been received and the appropriate items of cited prior art have been considered. However, the third and forth items of the Foreign Patent Documents section (page 1 of 3) have not been considered since no legible copy of said documents have been found in the file of record as required by 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2).

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent Number 5,383,639 by Byard in view of PCT Patent Application Publication Number WO

Page 3

89/09709 published 19 October 1989. The shopping cart securing apparatus disclosed by the '639 patent incorporates inclined surfaces 20 and depression 40 that read on the Applicant's first and second sloping faces, and trough, respectively. Said '639 patent fails to disclose a display system on a sloped surface. However, the use of display devices on the sloped surfaces of wheel retaining apparatus is well known in the art. Said '709 publication teaches a wheel chock that incorporates LED system H that is located on a sloped surface (see Figure 4). Said LED system reads on the Applicant's display system. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the LED system of said '709 publication into the securing apparatus of the '639 patent to provide an effective indicating means.

Conclusion

The following related art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Patent Number US 6,727,805 B2 by Hollister et al because of its light source 216,

Patent Number 4,781,271 by Wokeek because of its lettering 110,

Patent Number 3,876,173 by Cline because of its hypotenuse portions 16,

Patent Number 3,858,690 by Facemire because of its wedges 12,

Design Patent Number Des 350,525 by Robinson because of its elevated sections (see Figure 1), and

Application/Control Number: 10/699,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

German Patent Number DE 38 12 361 A1 published 7 September 1989 because of its LED display H.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is (703)308-6336. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mjb

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600